

Contents

Due Monday, February 9, 2026	2
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.16	2
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.18	3
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.19	3
Due Monday, February 16, 2026	4
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.1	4
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.2	5
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.3	7
Due Monday, February 23, 2026	7
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.13	7
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.14	8
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.16	11
Due Friday, March 6, 2026	11
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.4	11
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.7	12
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.8	13
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.9	14
Due Monday, March 30, 2026	15
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.10	15
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.11	15
Due Monday, April 20, 2026	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.1	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.4	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.5	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.6	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.10	16
Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.11	16

Note

Problems come from Stein–Shakarchi [1]. Numbering is in the form

chapter.exercise,

so that [Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.16](#) refers to Exercise 16 in Chapter 2 of [1].

Due Monday, February 9, 2026

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.16

Suppose f is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . If $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is a d -tuple of non-zero real numbers, and

$$f^\delta(x) = f(\delta x) = f(\delta_1 x_1, \dots, \delta_d x_d),$$

show that f^δ is integrable with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^\delta(x) dx = |\delta_1|^{-1} \dots |\delta_d|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let us first assume that f is nonnegative.

By the scaling property of Lebesgue measure, an integrable function g on \mathbb{R} satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\delta x) dx = \frac{1}{|\delta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx \quad (2)$$

for every $\delta \neq 0$. In particular, the function defined by $g(\delta x)$ is also integrable.

That f^δ is measurable and nonnegative is a routine verification. Denote $y = (x_2, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $\delta y = (\delta_2 x_2, \dots, \delta_{d-1} x_{d-1})$. By Fubini–Tonelli, for almost every fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, $f(\delta_1 x_1, \delta y)$ is a (nonnegative) measurable function of x_1 , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\delta_1 x_1, \delta y) dx_1$$

defines a (nonnegative) measurable function of $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^\delta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\delta_1 x_1, \delta y) dx_1 \right] dy.$$

Applying (2) to the inside integral gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^\delta(x) dx = \frac{1}{|\delta_1|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \underbrace{\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \delta y) dx_1 \right]}_{\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \delta y) dx_1} dy.$$

We can now view the indicated expression as a function $\tilde{f}(\delta y)$ on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , which is guaranteed by Tonelli to be measurable (and nonnegative), and to which we may apply the same reasoning as we have just applied to f . Repeating this d times results in (1) and, incidentally, the integrability of f^δ .

For the general case, we split f into f^+ and f^- . □

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.18

Let f be measurable and finite-valued on $[0, 1]$, and suppose that $|f(x) - f(y)|$ is integrable on $[0, 1]^2$. Show that f is integrable on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. □

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 2.19

Suppose f is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . For each $\alpha > 0$, let

$$E_\alpha = \{x : |f(x)| > \alpha\}.$$

Prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| dx = \int_0^\infty m(E_\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $f \geq 0$. Let

$$A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\}$$

and recall that A is a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and that

$$m(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx, \tag{3}$$

by Fubini. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} m(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \chi_A \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_A(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

also by Fubini. But

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_A(x, y) dx = m(E_y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{\{f(x)=y\}}(x, y) dx,$$

so we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} m(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(E_y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi_{\{f(x)=y\}}(x, y) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty m(E_y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The result follows by combining (3) and (4). \square

Due Monday, February 16, 2026

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.1

Let X be a set and \mathcal{M} a non-empty collection of subsets of X . Prove that if \mathcal{M} is closed under

- complements,
- countable unions of disjoint sets, and
- finite intersections,

then \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra. Show that the conclusion is false if the third assumption is removed. *Tsk, tsk, [1], tsk, tsk...*

Proof. We must show that \mathcal{M} is closed under arbitrary countable unions. Arbitrary countable intersections will follow.

Let $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}$. Put $E_0 = \emptyset$ and, for each $n \geq 1$, put

$$F_n = E_n \setminus (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{n-1}).$$

Note that F_1, F_2, \dots are disjoint sets and that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n. \tag{5}$$

We claim that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n &= E_n \setminus (E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \cap (E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_{n-1})^c \\ &= E_n \cap E_1^c \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-1}^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{M} is closed under complements and finite intersections, we have $F_n \in \mathcal{M}$. And since \mathcal{M} is closed under countable disjoint unions, we have $\bigcup_n F_n \in \mathcal{M}$, which implies $\bigcup_n E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ after recalling (5). This shows that \mathcal{M} is closed under arbitrary countable unions. σ -algebra! \square

Counterexample. We show that the conclusion is false if the third assumption is removed. Take a set X with two subsets $A, B \subseteq X$, such that $A \neq B$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and $A \cup B \neq X$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, B, B^c, X\}$$

satisfies the first two conditions, but it is not a σ -algebra because, for example, $A \cup B \notin \mathcal{M}$. \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.2

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space. One can define the **completion** of this space as follows. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the collection of sets of the form $E \cup Z$, where $E \in \mathcal{M}$, and $Z \subseteq F$ with $F \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mu(F) = 0$. Also, define $\overline{\mu}(E \cup Z) = \mu(E)$. Then:

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{M} and all subsets of elements of \mathcal{M} of measure zero.
- The function $\overline{\mu}$ is a measure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, and this measure is complete.

Proof of (a). Clearly $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a nonempty collection. Suppose $E_1 \cup Z_1, E_2 \cup Z_2, \dots \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, with $Z_n \subseteq F_n$ and $\mu(F_n) = 0$. Then

$$\bigcup_n (E_n \cup Z_n) = \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_n E_n \right)}_{\in \mathcal{M}} \cup \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_n Z_n \right)}_{\subseteq \left(\bigcup_n F_n \right)}.$$

Since $\mu\left(\bigcup_n F_n\right) = 0$, this shows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is closed under countable unions. To see that it is closed under complements, let $E \cup Z \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ with $Z \subseteq F$ and $\mu(F) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (E \cup Z)^c &= E^c \cap Z^c \\ &= (E^c \cap Z^c \cap F^c) \cup (E^c \cap Z^c \cap F) \\ &= \underbrace{(E^c \cap F^c)}_{\in \mathcal{M}} \cup \underbrace{(E^c \cap Z^c \cap F)}_{\subseteq F} \\ &\in \overline{\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -algebra.

Now, suppose \mathcal{M}' is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{M} and all subsets of its null sets. We must show $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$. This is immediate. Every member of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a union of two members of \mathcal{M}' . \square

Proof of (b). We must show that $\bar{\mu} : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is well-defined and countably additive.

Suppose $E \cup Z = E' \cup Z'$, with $Z \subseteq F$ and $Z' \subseteq F'$, $F, F' \in \mathcal{M}$ null sets. Since $E' \subseteq E \cup Z \subseteq E \cup F$, we have

$$\mu(E') \leq \mu(E \cup F) \leq \mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E)$$

The opposite inequality is similar, so $\mu(E') = \mu(E)$, hence $\bar{\mu}$ is well-defined.

Suppose $(E_n \cup Z_n)$ ($n \geq 1$) are disjoint members of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$, with $Z_n \subseteq F_n$ for $\mu(F_n) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n \cup Z_n)\right) &= \bar{\mu}\left(\underbrace{\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right)}_{\in \mathcal{M}} \cup \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n\right)}_{\subseteq \bigcup_n F_n}\right) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(E_n \cup Z_n), \end{aligned}$$

and done! \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.3

Consider the exterior Lebesgue measure m_* introduced in Chapter 1. Prove that a set $E \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is Carathéodory measurable if and only if E is Lebesgue measurable in the sense of Chapter 1.

One direction. Suppose E is Lebesgue measurable and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and \mathcal{O} an open set containing E such that $m_*(\mathcal{O} - E) < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_*(A) &\leq m_*(A \cap E) + m_*(A \cap E^c) \leq m_*(A \cap \mathcal{O}) + m_*(A \cap E^c) \\ &\leq m_*(A \cap \mathcal{O}) + m_*(A \cap E^c \cap \mathcal{O}) + m_*(A \cap E^c \cap \mathcal{O}^c) \\ &= m_*(A \cap \mathcal{O}) + \underbrace{m_*(A \cap (\mathcal{O} - E))}_{< \varepsilon} + m_*(A \cap \mathcal{O}^c) \\ &= m_*(A) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

by Caratheodory measurability of open sets. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this shows that E is Carathéodory measurable. \square

Other direction. Suppose $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is Caratheodory measurable. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let \mathcal{O} be an open set containing $E_N := E \cap [-N, N]^d$ such that

$$m_*(\mathcal{O}) \leq m_*(E_N) + \varepsilon.$$

But we also have

$$\begin{aligned} m_*(\mathcal{O}) &= m_*(\mathcal{O} \cap E_N) + m_*(\mathcal{O} \cap E_N^c) \\ &= m_*(E_N) + m_*(\mathcal{O} - E_N). \end{aligned}$$

Combining, we get $m_*(\mathcal{O} - E_N) \leq \varepsilon$. This shows that E_N is Lebesgue measurable. Therefore, $E = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} E_N$ is Lebesgue measurable. \square

Due Monday, February 23, 2026**Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.13**

Let m_j be the Lebesgue measure for the space \mathbb{R}^{d_j} , $j = 1, 2$. Consider the product $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ ($d = d_1 + d_2$), with m the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Show that m is the completion (in the sense of [Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.2](#)) of the product measure $m_1 \times m_2$.

Proof. First, we show that $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and

$$m|_{\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2} = m_1 \times m_2,$$

where $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, $\mathcal{L}_1 := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}$, and $\mathcal{L}_2 := \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}}$ (the Lebesgue measurable sets). To see this, recall that $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing the measurable rectangles $A \times B$ ($A \in \mathcal{L}_1, B \in \mathcal{L}_2$). By [1, Chapter 2, Proposition 3.6], we have $A \times B \in \mathcal{L}$ and

$$m(A \times B) = m_1(A)m_2(B).$$

This extends uniquely to a premeasure on the algebra \mathcal{A} of finite unions of measurable rectangles. Since $m_1 \times m_2$ is σ -finite, it is the unique extension to $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ of the pre-measure on \mathcal{A} ([1, Chapter 6, Theorem 1.5]). It follows that m and $m_1 \times m_2$ must coincide on $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$.

We now have that m extends $m_1 \times m_2$ from $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ to \mathcal{L} . Let \mathcal{L}' be the completion of $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ in the sense of Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.2. We must show that $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}$. Since \mathcal{L} is complete, it in particular contains all subsets of the null sets of $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$, as well as $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ itself, as we have finished showing. Now, by Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.2, it follows that $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, \mathcal{L} is the completion of \mathcal{B} , the Borel sets, and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$. Since the latter is complete, we must have $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}'$ \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.14

Suppose $(X_j, \mathcal{M}_j, \mu_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, is a finite collection of measure spaces. Show that parallel with the case $k = 2$ considered in Section 3 one can construct a product measure $\mu_1 \times \mu_2 \times \cdots \times \mu_k$ on $X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_k$. In fact, for any set $E \subseteq X$ such that $E = E_1 \times E_2 \times \cdots \times E_k$, with $E_j \in \mathcal{M}_j$ for all j , define $\mu_0(E) = \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_j(E_j)$. Verify that μ_0 extends to a premeasure on the algebra \mathcal{A} of finite disjoint unions of such sets, and then apply Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 1. *If $F = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$ with $A_j \in \mathcal{M}_j$ and*

$$F = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n,$$

where $E_n = A_1^{(n)} \times \cdots \times A_k^{(n)}$ with $A_j^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}_j$, then

$$\mu_0(F) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n). \tag{6}$$

Proof of Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.14 modulo Lemma 1. We extend μ_0 to \mathcal{A} by putting

$$\mu_0(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_0(E_n)$$

whenever $E = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^N E_n$ with $E_n = E_1^{(n)} \times \cdots \times E_k^{(n)}$, and $E_j^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}_j$.

We should first verify that this is well-defined. Suppose $E \in \mathcal{A}$ can also be expressed $E = \bigsqcup_{m=1}^M F_m$ with $F_m = F_1^{(m)} \times \cdots \times F_k^{(m)}$, and $F_j^{(m)} \in \mathcal{M}_j$. We must show that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \mu_0(F_m) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_0(E_n) \quad (7)$$

But for each m , we have

$$F_m = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^N E_n \cap F_m,$$

and for each n , the set $E_n \cap F_m$ is a measurable rectangle. Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$\mu_0(F_m) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_0(E_n \cap F_m)$$

hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \mu_0(F_m) = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_0(E_n \cap F_m).$$

A symmetric argument shows

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \mu_0(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_0(E_n \cap F_m),$$

and (7) follows. The extension of μ_0 to \mathcal{A} is well-defined.

That $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$ is inherited. In order to see that μ_0 is a premeasure on \mathcal{A} we must show that if $A_1, A_2, \dots, \in \mathcal{A}$ are disjoint sets such that $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \sum_n \mu_0(A_n).$$

Since $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, it is expressible as a union of finitely many disjoint rectangles,

$$\bigcup_n A_n = \bigsqcup_{m=1}^M B_m.$$

and

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_0(B_m).$$

The problem thus reduces to showing

$$\sum_n \mu_0(A_n) = \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_0(B_m).$$

Since Lemma 1 holds for countable disjoint unions, the arguments from the previous paragraph can be repeated here. \square

Proof of Lemma 1. Observe that for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{A_1 \times \dots \times A_k}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_1^{(n)} \times \dots \times A_k^{(n)}}(x) \\ &\quad \updownarrow \\ \prod_{j=1}^k \chi_{A_j}(x_j) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k \chi_{A_j^{(n)}}(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Fixing $(x_2, \dots, x_k) \in X_2 \times X_3 \times \dots \times X_k$, we integrate both sides with respect to μ_1 . The monotone convergence theorem gives

$$\int \chi_{A_1}(x_1) \prod_{j=2}^k \chi_{A_j}(x_j) d\mu_1(x_1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \chi_{A_1^{(n)}}(x_1) \prod_{j=2}^k \chi_{A_j^{(n)}}(x_j) d\mu(x_1),$$

and, evaluating integrals,

$$\mu_1(A_1) \prod_{j=2}^k \chi_{A_j}(x_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A_1^{(n)}) \prod_{j=2}^k \chi_{A_j^{(n)}}(x_j).$$

Now fix (x_3, \dots, x_k) and integrate both sides with respect to μ_2 . This leads via the monotone convergence theorem to

$$\mu_1(A_1) \mu_2(A_2) \prod_{j=3}^k \chi_{A_j}(x_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A_1^{(n)}) \mu_2(A_2^{(n)}) \prod_{j=3}^k \chi_{A_j^{(n)}}(x_j).$$

Continuing in this way, we arrive after k steps at

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \mu_j(A_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_j(A_j^{(n)}),$$

which is equivalent to (6). This proves Lemma 1. \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.16**Due Friday, March 6, 2026****Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.4**

Prove that if f is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d , and f is not identically zero, then

$$f^*(x) \geq \frac{c}{|x|^d}, \quad \text{for some } c > 0 \text{ and all } |x| \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

Conclude that f^* is not integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . Then, show that the weak type estimate

$$m(\{f^* > \alpha\}) \leq c/\alpha$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ whenever $\int |f| = 1$, is best possible in the following sense: if f is supported in the unit ball with $\int |f| = 1$, then

$$m(\{f^* > \alpha\}) \geq c'/\alpha$$

for some $c' > 0$ and all sufficiently small α .

Hint. For the first part, use the fact that $\int_B |f| > 0$ for some ball B . \square

Proof. That f is integrable and not “identically” zero means that $\|f\|_1 \neq 0$. In particular, there exists a ball B for which $\int_B |f| > 0$, as the hint points out. In fact, by increasing the radius of B , we may assume that $r := \max\{|y| : y \in B\} > 1$.

Now, whenever $|x| \geq 1$, the ball $B(0, r|x|)$ contains both x and B . In particular, we have for $|x| \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(x) &\geq \frac{1}{m(B(0, r|x|))} \int_{B(0, r|x|)} |f| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m(B(0, r|x|))} \int_B |f| \\ &= \frac{\int_B |f|}{m(B(0, r))} \cdot \frac{1}{|x|^d}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (8) with $c = \frac{\int_B |f|}{m(B(0, r))} > 0$, which in turn implies that f^* is not integrable.

In fact, we may show that the weak-type Hardy-Littlewood inequality is best possible. The previous paragraph shows that for all $|x| \geq 1$ we have

$$f^*(x) \geq \frac{c}{|x|^d}.$$

Therefore, if $\alpha < c$, then $\{f^* > \alpha\}$ contains the annulus $\{1 \leq |x| < (c/\alpha)^{1/d}\}$. That annulus in turn has measure

$$m\{1 \leq |x| < (c/\alpha)^{1/d}\} = \left(\frac{c}{\alpha} - 1\right)m(B(0, 1)).$$

Hence,

$$m\{f^* > \alpha\} \geq \left(\frac{c}{\alpha} - 1\right)m(B(0, 1))$$

It is easily shown that

$$\left(\frac{c}{\alpha} - 1\right)m(B(0, 1)) \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}cm(B(0, 1))}{\alpha}$$

for all sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$. Setting $c' = \frac{1}{2}cm(B(0, 1))$, we have that

$$m\{f^* > \alpha\} \geq \frac{c'}{\alpha}$$

for all sufficiently small α . □

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.7

Prove that if a measurable subset E of $[0, 1]$ satisfies

$$m(E \cap I) \geq \alpha m(I)$$

for some $\alpha > 0$ and all intervals I in $[0, 1]$, then E has measure 1. See also [1, Exercise 1.28].

Proof. If $\alpha \geq 1$ then the result follows by taking $I = [0, 1]$. So let us assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Suppose x is a density point of $E^c = [0, 1] \setminus E$. Then there exists an interval I containing x which is small enough that

$$\frac{m(E^c \cap I)}{m(I)} > 1 - \alpha.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{m(E \cap I)}{m(I)} < \alpha,$$

which contradicts the assumption on E . Therefore, E^c must not have any density points. But the Lebesgue density theorem guarantees that almost every point of E^c is a density point. Evidently, E^c has zero measure, hence $m(E) = 1$. \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.8

Suppose A is a Lebesgue measurable set in \mathbb{R} with $m(A) > 0$. Does there exist a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that the complement of

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A + s_n)$$

in \mathbb{R} has measure zero?

Hint. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, find an interval I_ε of length ℓ_ε such that $m(A \cap I_\varepsilon) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)m(I_\varepsilon)$. Consider $\bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (A + t_k)$, with $t_k = k\ell_\varepsilon$. Then vary ε . \square

Proof. The Lebesgue density theorem guarantees the existence of some point $x \in A$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{|I| \rightarrow 0 \\ x \in I}} \frac{m(A \cap I)}{m(I)} = 1.$$

In particular, we may find a sequence of intervals I_0, I_1, I_2, \dots containing x such that $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$ and such that

$$\frac{m(A \cap I_n)}{m(I_n)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

for each $n \geq 0$. For each n , let

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (A + k|I_n|).$$

Notice that for any interval I with $|I| \geq |I_n|$, we have

$$\frac{m(\mathcal{A}_n \cap I)}{m(I)} \geq \frac{1}{3}. \tag{9}$$

Finally, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_n,$$

and notice that \mathcal{A} is the union of countably many translates of A . Since $|I_n| \rightarrow 0$, it is guaranteed by (9) that for any nontrivial interval I ,

$$\frac{m(\mathcal{A} \cap I)}{m(I)} \geq \frac{1}{3}.$$

In particular, this holds for all $I \subseteq [0, 1]$, and so by applying [Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.7](#) we find that $m(\mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1]) = 1$. \square

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 3.9

Let F be a closed subset in \mathbb{R} , and $\delta(x)$ the distance from x to F . Prove

$$\delta(x + y) = o(|y|) \quad (|y| \rightarrow 0) \tag{10}$$

for almost every $x \in F$.

Proof. Suppose (10) does not hold for x . Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\delta(x + y)}{|y|} \geq 2\varepsilon$$

for arbitrarily small y . For all such y , we have

$$m(F \cap (x - y, x + y)) \leq 2|y| - 2\varepsilon|y|,$$

hence

$$\liminf_{|y| \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(F \cap (x - y, x + y))}{2|y|} \leq 1 - \varepsilon$$

and x is not a density point of F . Since almost every point of F is a density point of F , it must be that (10) holds for almost every $x \in F$. \square

Due Monday, March 30, 2026**Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.10**

Suppose ν, ν_1, ν_2 are signed measures on (X, \mathcal{M}) and μ a (positive) measure on M . Prove:

- (a) If $\nu_1 \perp \mu$ and $\nu_2 \perp \mu$, then $\nu_1 + \nu_2 \perp \mu$
- (b) If $\nu_1 \ll \mu$ and $\nu_2 \ll \mu$, then $\nu_1 + \nu_2 \ll \mu$
- (c) $\nu_1 \perp \nu_2$ implies $|\nu_1| \perp |\nu_2|$
- (d) If $\nu \perp \mu$ and $\nu \ll \mu$ then $\nu = 0$.

Proof (a).

□

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 6.11

Suppose that F is an increasing normalized function on \mathbb{R} , and let $F = F_A + F_C + F_J$ be the decomposition of F in Exercise 24 in Chapter 3; here F_A is absolutely continuous, F_C is continuous with $F'_C = 0$ a.e., and F_J is a pure jump function. Let $\mu = \mu_A + \mu_C + \mu_J$ with μ, μ_A, μ_C , and μ_J the Borel measures associated to F, F_A, F_C , and F_J respectively. Verify that:

- (i) μ_A is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and $\mu_A(E) = \int_E F'(x) dx$ for every Lebesgue measurable set E .
- (ii) As a result, if F is absolutely continuous, then $\int f d\mu = \int f dF = \int f(x)F'(x) dx$ whenever f and fF' are integrable.
- (iii) $\mu_C + \mu_J$ and Lebesgue measure are mutually singular.

Due Monday, April 20, 2026

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.1

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.4

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.5

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.6

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.10

Stein–Shakarchi, Exercise 4.11

References

- [1] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi *Real Analysis: Measure Theory, Integration, and Hilbert Spaces*. Princeton Lectures in Analysis